TAP RECEIVED

otoria la Maranalita et las collette sasciolo, la la Mettello el describbilità dell'estrativi dell'estrativi d

'JAN 1 7 1992

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

างเราะเก็บได้เป็นได้ให้เป็นได้เห็นได้เป็นได้เป็นได้เป็นได้เป็นได้เป็นได้เป็นได้เป็นได้เป็นได้เป็นได้เป็นได้เป็

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

In the Matter of Administration of the North American Numbering Plan

Q 2-237

OF 91-7307

REPLY COMMENTS OF AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY

Pursuant to the Commission's October 18, 1991

Public Notice, * American Telephone and Telegraph Company

("AT&T") submits these reply comments in support of the

National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners'

("NARUCs'") petition for a notice of inquiry concerning

the administration of the North American Numbering Plan

("NANP").

The overwhelming majority of commenters

(including Bellcore, the current NANP Administrator)

support NARUC's request that the Commission begin an inquiry into the NANP administration process and number and code assignment procedures.** The comments also confirm the importance of NANP administration to the

^{*} Public Notice, DA 91-1307, 6 FCC Rcd. 6070 (1991). A list of other parties submitting comments in this proceeding, and the abbreviated designations used herein, is attached as Appendix 1.

^{** &}lt;u>See</u>, <u>e.g.</u>, Allnet, p. 1; Bellcore, p. 5; Centel, p. 4; McCaw, p. 4; MCI, p. 9; MFS, p. 5; NTCA, p. 2; D.C. PSC, p. 3; Telocator, p. 11; TCG, p. 1; Unitel, p. 1.

🗱 titat telah pertemberah dalam dalam dalam dalam dalam berapa berapa berapa berapa dalam dalam

industry, and for the most part, call for a comprehensive review of current NANP issues, as well as future industry developments that must be addressed under the NANP.*

Only some local exchange company commenters oppose NARUC's request, or suggest that if a notice of inquiry is issued, its focus should be narrow.** They assert that NANP administration issues have been and are being adequately addressed by Bellcore and that a notice of inquiry at this time might interfere with ongoing NANP activities.*** This unsupported assertion and speculative concern provides no basis for denying NARUC's request or for limiting the scope of the inquiry the Commission should conduct.

First, as Centel explains (p. 3), work in progress to address numbering code issues should be continued during the Commission's inquiry. As part of its inquiry, the Commission can request information "describing which issues are being examined, the progress made to date, and the schedule for resolving those issues" (id.). With this information, the Commission can

^{*} See, e.g., McCaw, pp. 1-3; MCI, pp. 3-4; MFS, pp. 5-6; NTCA, p. 2; D.C. PSC, p. 2; Rochester, p. 3; Telocator, p. 1; TCG, p. 2.

^{**} See, e.g., Ameritech, p. 1; BellSouth, pp. 1-2; GTE, p. 11; NYNEX, p. 3; Pacific Companies, p. 1; SWBT, p. 1; UTI, pp. 1-2; USTA, pp. 3-4; U S WEST, pp. 2-6.

^{*** &}lt;u>See</u>, <u>e.g.</u>, Ameritech, p. 14; NYNEX, pp. 5-6; U S WEST, pp. 2-3.

determine "what, if any, steps it should take to prevent waste and ensure the efficient and non-discriminatory allocation of" valuable numbering code resources (id.). This process will assure that a Commission inquiry does not interfere with ongoing NANP activities that the industry agrees are effective to resolve identified numbering code concerns.

Exclude particular issues from its notice of inquiry (see, e.g., BellSouth, pp. 3-6; GTE, pp. 4-7; NYNEX, p. i; SWBT, p. 3; VTI, p. 4; U S WEST, pp. 2-6), or otherwise narrowly define the scope of the inquiry, simply misperceive the purpose of the inquiry. NARUC has not requested that the Commission initiate a rulemaking proceeding, or proposed any specific rules. Rather, NARUC has requested that the Commission seek information and comment "concerning the administration of the North American Numbering Plan."*

Only by allowing interested parties to provide information on all issues affecting their participation in or interaction with the NANP can the Commission develop the detailed and complete record that will allow it to formulate and adopt appropriate NANP administration and conflict resolution procedures, and formulate any further

^{*} Public Notice, 6 FCC Rcd. at 6070.

action appropriate to emerging issues.* Through the implementation of these procedures, the Commission can ensure the impartial and effective NANP administration that is critical to meet the evolving requirements of the competitive telecommunications industry. Such procedures will result in the nondiscriminatory availability of adequate numbering resources to all carriers, facilitate the continued provision of quality services to all customers, and encourage the development of new services, for example, personal communications services, which may require nontraditional number assignment independent of location and the identification of the service provider.

For all these reasons, the Commission should grant NARUC's petition and establish a comprehensive

^{* &}lt;u>See</u> AT&T, p. 4.

912024573759;# 2/ 4

SENT BY: AT&T ; 1-17-92 ; 1:48PM ; 295 N. MAPLE - LAW→

- 5 -

notice of inquiry concerning future administration of the NANP and other vital numbering and code resources.

Respectfully submitted,

AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY

Ву______

Francine & Berry Mark C. Rosenblum Albert M. Lewis

Its Attorneys

Room 3244J1 295 North Maple Avenue Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920-1002

January 17, 1992

912024573759;# 3/ 4

; 1-17-92 ; 1:48PM ; 295 N. MAPLE - LAW-SENT BY : AT&T

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Alice Popelka, do hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Reply Comments of American Telephone and Telegraph Company was served this 17th day of January, 1992, by United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, upon the parties listed on the attached service list.

Dated: January 17, 1992

SERVICE LIST

Mary Green*
Industry Analysis Division
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., No. 538
Washington, D.C. 20554

Downtown Copy Center*
1114 21st Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Paul Rodgers
Charles D. Gray
James Bradford Ramsey
National Association of
Regulatory Utility
Commissioners
1102 ICC Building
Post Office Box 684
Washington, D.C. 20044

Roy L. Morris Allnet Communications Services, Inc. 1990 M Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036

Floyd S. Keene Larry A. Peck Ameritech Operating Companies 2000 W. Ameritech Center Drive Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

Michael S. Slomin
Bell Communications Research,
Inc.
290 W. Mt. Pleasant Avenue
Rm. LCC-2B336
Livingston, NJ 07039-2729

William B. Barfield Thompson T. Rawls III BellSouth Corporation 1155 Peachtree Street, N.E. Suite 1800 Atlanta, GA 30367-6000 A. A. Kurtze
Executive Vice President
Centel Corporation
8725 Higgins Road
Chicago, IL 60631

Theodore D. Frank Vonya B. McCann Arent Fox Kintner Plotkin & Kahn 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-5339 Attorneys for Centel Corporation

Daniel L. Bart GTE Service Corporation Suite 1200 1850 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Mark R. Hamilton
Marsha Olch
McCaw Cellular Communications,
Inc.
5400 Carillon Point
Kirkland, WA 98033-9760

R. Michael Senkowski
Jeffrey S. Linder
Wiley, Rein & Fielding
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
Attorneys for McCaw Cellular
Communications, Inc.

Carol Schultz
MCI Telecommunications
Corporation
1801 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20006

Cindy Z. Schonhaut Metropolitan Fiber Systems, Inc. 3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20007

^{*} By hand delivery.

Andrew D. Lipman Russell M. Blau Swidler & Berlin, Chartered 3000 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20007 Attorneys for Metropolitan Fiber Systems, Inc.

David Cosson
L. Marie Guillory
National Telephone Cooperative
Association
2626 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20037

Mary McDermott
Campbell L. Ayling
New England Telephone and
Telegraph Company and New York
Telephone Company
120 Bloomingdale Road
White Plains, NY 10605

James P. Tuthill Nancy C. Woolf Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell 140 New Montgomery Street Rm. 1523 San Francisco, CA 94105

Stanley J. Moore Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Daryl L. Avery
Peter G. Wolfe
Public Service Commission of the
District of Columbia
450 Fifth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001

Josephine S. Trubek Rochester Telephone Corporation 180 South Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14646

Durward D. Dupre Richard C. Hartgrove John Paul Walters, Jr. Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. 1010 Pine Street, Rm. 2114 St. Louis, MO 63101 P. G. Jollymore Vice President Telecom Canada 410 Laurier Avenue West Box 2410, Station D Ottawa, Ontario KIP 6H5

A. Richard Metzger, Jr. Rogers & Wells 1737 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006-3922 Attorney for Telecom Canada

R. Michael Senkowski Jeffrey S. Linder Aliza F. Katz Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 Attorneys for Telocator

Robert C. Atkinson Senior Vice President Teleport Communications Group 1 Teleport Drive Suite 301 Staten Island, NY 10311-1011

Jay C. Keithley
Leon Kestenbaum
Norina Moy
United Telecommunications, Inc.
1850 M Street, N.W.
Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20036

W. Richard Morris United Telecommunications, Inc. P.O. Box 11315 Kansas City, MO 64112

Allan G. Duncan Unitel Communications Inc. 200 Wellington Street West Toronto, Ontario M5V 3C7

Martin T. McCue
United States Telephone
Association
900 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20006-2105

Lawrence E. Sarjeant
James T. Hannon
U S WEST Communications, Inc.
1020 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20036